# IWT SBO PROJECT 120003 "SEARCH"

# Archaeological heritage in the North Sea

Development of an efficient assessment methodology and approach towards a sustainable management policy and legal framework in Belgium.

# Archeologisch erfgoed in de Noordzee

Ontwikkeling van een efficiënte evaluatiemethodologie en voorstellen tot een duurzaam beheer in België.



# OOSTENDE VALLEY SEISMIC CAMPAIGN 7-9 OCTOBER 2013

WP 1.2.3. A

Responsible partners: UG-RCMG, Deltares, VLIZ Authors: M. De Clercq, O. H. Zurita, T. Missiaen, P. Frantsen Oct 2013

## Table of Contents

1.	ramework an	nd objectives	3
1.1	. Framewor	rk	3
1.2	. Survey Ob	ojectives	3
2.	Study area		3
3.	ist of particip.	pants	5
4.	Operations an	nd weather conditions	5
5.	Data acquisitio	on	6
5.1	. Equipmen	nt and seismic characteristics	6
5.2	. Recorded	networks (sub-areas)	7
6.	ine Summary.	/	10
Appe	ndix		13

# 1. Framework and objectives

### 1.1. Framework

In October 2013 more than 120 kilometres of 2D high resolution seismic reflection data were acquired offshore Oostende as part of the IWT-SBO project SeArch ("Archaeological heritage in the North Sea: development of an efficient methodology and approach towards a sustainable management policy and legal framework in Belgium"). The purpose of this project is to assess the archaeological potential of the Quaternary deposits in the Belgian part of the North Sea. To this date no efficient survey methodology exists that is particularly aimed at archaeological assessment studies. Standard geophysical and remote sensing techniques are mainly used on an ad hoc basis (if at all), and often these techniques are not well adapted for archaeological investigations. Moreover they are ineffective in large parts of the nearshore zone due to the presence of biogenic gas in the sediments, and generally cannot be applied appropriately in intertidal areas. What does the project aim at with regard to survey technology?

One of the main goals of the SeArch project is to supply a flexible, generic survey methodology through the development and improvement of marine geophysical and remote sensing techniques for seafloor and sub-seafloor imaging, with major focus on acquisition (sources/receivers), data processing and interpretation of high-quality data. This should allow a cost-efficient and accurate assessment of the archaeological potential of the seafloor and sub-seafloor environment.

### **1.2. Survey Objectives**

This seismic campaign, carried out on board of the RV Simon Stevin (VLIZ), has multiple objectives:

- Test different seismic sources and receiver configurations in different geological settings of the Belgian Continental Shelf.
- Produce a preliminary survey methodology that takes into account the depth of investigation and the expected vertical resolution needed for the layers/objects to be found.
- Obtain more precise information on the complex geological layering of the Ostend Valley.
- Identify archaeological potential of layers.
- Define locations for further, more detailed, surveys in the area.

# 2. Study area

The survey area is located 10 km offshore the city of Ostend and mainly covers a section of the Belgian Continental Shelf known as the Ostend Valley. This funnel-shaped valley structure in the top-Palaeogene morphology started out as a fairly small river valley during the Saalian ice age when sea-level was low. With the rise of sea-level during the Eemian interglacial the river valley evolved into a more open estuary, where coastal and tidal forces shaped the funnel-shaped valley as we know it today. During the subsequent sea-level drop (Weichsel ice age) fluvial incision occurred again, only this time not as strong as during the

Saalian. Eventually the valley got cut off at the end of the Pleistocene when a large aeolian dune blocked the river redirecting it to the north.

Throughout the late Quaternary history the Ostend valley has likely provided an attractive environment for human habitation.

The study area can be defined by the following UTM coordinates (see figure 1):

- 1. 482215,44 E ; 5675288,99 N
- 2. 484279,19 E ; 5673653,87 N
- 3. 490486,33 E ; 5685306,14 N
- 4. 492565,96 E ; 5683607,51 N

In view of the limited time available (only 3 working days instead of 5 due to predicted storm weather) the survey area was divided into 4 smaller focus areas (figure 1): Test Line (TL), Eastern Flank (EF), Central Valley (CV) and Western Flank (WF). Each area represents a different geomorphology and lithology.

Figure 1 also shows the relative location of each seismic line with respect to the shoreline and the interpreted limits of the Ostend Valley.



Figure 1 Representation of the maximal extent of the survey area and the recorded seismic networks in the Ostend Valley visualized on top of the Palaeogene surface.

# 3. List of participants

Name	Organisation	Function	07/10/2013	08/10/2013	09/10/2013
Tine Missiaen	RCMG	Chief Scientist	х	х	х
Koen De Rycker	RCMG	Engineer	х	х	х
Oscar Zurita Hurtado	RCMG	Geophysicist	х	х	х
Maikel De Clercq	RCMG	Geologist	х	х	х
Mike van der Werf	Deltares	Engineer	х		
Marco de Kleine	Deltares	Geologist	х		
Giovanni Diaferia	Deltares	Geophysicist	х	х	x
Peter Frantsen	Deltares	Geophysicist	х	х	х
Wim Versteeg	VLIZ	Geophysicist	х	х	

### 4. Operations and weather conditions

Date	Time	Operations	Recording track
Friday 04/10/2013	09:00 - 19:00	installation and set-up of all equipment on board	
Monday 07/10/2013	10:00 11:45 18:30 19:15	departure Ostend harbour start measurements end measurements arrival Ostend harbour	TL_01-04
Tuesday 08/10/2013	08:55 09:30 19:45 20:00	departure Ostend harbour first measurements end measurements arrival Ostend harbour	TL_05-06 EF_01-10
Wednesday 09/10/2013	07:30 08:30 16:30 16:50	departure Ostend harbour first measurements end measurements arrival Ostend harbour	CV_01-11 WF_01-05
Thursday 10/10/2013	08:15 - 14:15	demobilisation of all equipment	
Friday 11/10/2013	09:00 - 13:00	pick-up of RCMG equipment and transport to UGent	

\*All times represented are in local time (GMT + 2h).

During the survey weather conditions ranged from very good on Monday 7<sup>th</sup> October to poor on Wednesday 9<sup>th</sup> October in the afternoon. Due to the arrival of a storm on Wednesday night the survey scheduled for Tuesday and Friday had to be cancelled.

Specific weather conditions during the survey:

#### Monday 07/10/2013:

Sunny weather conditions with a maximum temperature of 16°C, average wind speeds up to 5-6 m/s and a maximum wave height of 50 cm.

#### Tuesday 08/10/2013:

Clouded weather conditions with a maximum temperature of 15°C, average wind speeds up to 5 m/s in the morning and up to 9 m/s in the afternoon. Maximum wave height of 55 cm.

#### Wednesday 09/10/2013:

Clouded weather conditions with showers. Maximum temperature of 15°C, average wind speeds up to 7 m/s in the morning and up to more than 10 m/s in the afternoon with maximum wave height of 60 cm in the morning and higher than 100 cm after 14:00. In the course of the afternoon a storm approached the area.

#### Thursday 10/10/2013

Stormy weather conditions with heavy rain showers and even hale accompanied with high wind speeds and wave heights. Conditions prohibit any continuation of the survey campaign.

#### Friday 11/10/2013

Weather conditions are still bad because of high wind speeds and wave heights. Conditions prohibit any continuation of the survey campaign.

### 5. Data acquisition

#### 5.1. Equipment and seismic characteristics

Different seismic sources were tested during the campaign: (1) Centipede sparker, (2) SIG sparker, (3) "Seistec" boomer, (4) AA300 boomer, (5) X-Star chirp, (6) Geopulse and (7) Parametric Echosounder (PES). Each source has a particular frequency range output resulting in high- or low-resolution images with a low or high penetration into the subsurface (see Table 1). Where possible different sources were used simultaneously (e.g. PES and Boomer; X-Star and sparker).

Equipment	Frequency range	Vertical resolution	Penetration
Centipede sparker	1.1 – 1.2 kHz	> 35 cm	in a sandy sea bottom, up to 50 m
SIG sparker	800 - 900 Hz	> 50 cm	In a sandy sea bottom, up to 100 m
'Seistec' boomer	1 - 5 kHz (main frequency of 2.5 kHz)	> 25 cm	up to 100 m
AA300 boomer	2 - 6 kHz	> 35 cm	up to 50 m
X-Star chirp	500 Hz – 12 kHz	30 cm or better	in a sandy sea bottom a penetration of 10-20 m
Geopulse	3,5 kHz	25 cm	up to 50 m (depending on sediment)
Parametric Echosounder	6 - 12 kHz / 100 kHz	15 cm	up to 30 m (in soft sediments)

Table 1 Characteristics of the equipment used during the survey.

When applicable, two different types of receivers were used to register the data; (1) a single channel streamer and (2) a multichannel streamer (24 channels). Both streamers were towed behind the vessel and were laterally spaced by three metres. The single channel streamer was towed at port side while the multichannel streamer was always positioned in the middle of the stern.

At starboard, with an offset of 3 m from the multichannel streamer, the different types of boomers and sparkers were towed. The longitudinal offset of the boomers and sparkers varied and the particular configuration for each line is specified in the tables below. The parametric Echosounder and the Geopulse were attached to a specifically designed mounting at the port hull of the vessel, while the X-star chirp was towed along the starboard of the ship (see Figure 2).

### 5.2. Recorded networks (sub-areas)

#### Test Line (TL)

This consisted of 6 seismic lines that almost crossed the entire width of the valley (figure 1). The lines all follow the exact same trajectory in order to allow correct comparison of the different data. As explained in table 2, different types of seismic sources were combined for each line. This helped to determine what type of equipment was most appropriate in each particular geological setting.

Line	Direction	Source	Source Offset	S. Ch Offset	M. Ch Offset
TI 01		PES	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
11_01	INE-SVV	Seistec boomer	25 m	29 m	29 m
TI 02		PES	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
11_02	SVV-INE	Centipede sparker	26.5 m	29 m	29 m
		PES	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
11_03	INE-SVV	AA300 boomer	29 m	29 m	29 m
TI 04		PES	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
11_04	SVV-INE	X-Star chirp	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
TI 05		X-Star chirp	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
11_05	INE-SVV	SIG Sparker	30 m	29 m	32 m
TL 00		X-Star chirp	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
TL_06	SVV-NE	Geopulse	As diagram	15 m	22 m

Table 2 Test Line acquisition configuration.



SC = Single Channel streamer; MC = Multichannel streamer.

Preliminary results obtained on board showed that the sparker sources produced the deepest penetration, while maintaining a relatively good resolution. Centipede sparker showed a sharp wavelet, producing a higher resolution image than the SIG sparker. Boomer sources (especially the AA300) showed good resolution but lower penetration depth.

For the parametric echosounder different types of frequency outputs were used ranging from 4 to 14 kHz using 1, 2 or 3 pulses. The results of this device were poor and the acoustic signals were not able to penetrate the thick sand layers. It was therefore not used for the other sub-areas.

The Geopulse introduced some strong periodical noise that obscured most of the data. Most likely this was due to an electronic problem in the acquisition unit. Particular data processing is needed in order to try to attenuate the effect of this noise.

Different frequency ranges (sweeps) were also tested for the X-Star Chirp, producing very good results, almost comparable to the sparker source (Centipede).

Therefore, both the X-Star Chirp and the Centipede sparker were used for subsequent recording of the different sub-areas (flanks and central valley).

Preliminary comparison of the single channel streamer data and a near offset stack from the multichannel streamer proved that data acquired with the multichannel streamer presented a higher signal to noise ratio. We therefore decided to acquire all our data with the multichannel streamer while keeping the single channel streamer for comparison purposes.

#### Eastern Flank (EF)

The seismic network in sub-area *EF* consisted of 6 equally spaced parallel lines to the south of the main test line and 4 perpendicular lines, covering the easternmost flank of the Ostend

Valley. Only the Centipede sparker and the X-Star chirp sources were used here (simultaneously). Both single channel and multichannel streamers were deployed.

Line	Source	Source Offset	SC Offset	MC Offset
FF 01 10	Centipede sparker	26,5 m	29 m	35 m
EF_01-10	X-Star chirp	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.

Table 3 Eastern Flank acquisition configuration.

#### Central Valley (CV)

The seismic network in sub-area *CV* consisted of 7 equally spaced parallel lines to the south of the main test line and 4 perpendicular lines, covering the deepest section of the Oostende Valley. The Centipede sparker was used on the first 6 lines but due to the deteriorating weather conditions (resulting in a marked decrease in the quality of the data) it was replaced by the SIG sparker for the rest of the survey. The X-Star Chirp was used on all lines (simultaneously with the sparker source). Both single channel and multichannel streamers were deployed.

Line	Source	Source Offset	SC Offset	MC Offset
CV 01-06	Centipede sparker	30 m	29 m	35 m
CV_01-06	X-Star chirp	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
	SIG sparker	30 m	29 m	35 m
CV_07-11	X-Star chirp	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.

Table 4 Central Valley acquisition configuration.

#### Western Flank (WF)

The seismic network in sub-area *WF* consisted of 4 lines: one line extending the main test lines towards the western flank of the Oostende Valley, one parallel line to the south (connecting with the central valley network) and two perpendicular lines. Only the SIG Sparker and the X-Star Chirp sources were used (simultaneously). Both single channel and multichannel streamers were deployed.

Line	Source	Source Offset	SC Offset	MC Offset
	SIG sparker	30 m	29 m	35 m
WF_01-05	X-Star chirp	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.

Table 5 Western Flank acquisition configuration.

# 6. Line Summary

Line	Direction	Date	Start [UTC]	End [UTC]	Position [UTM E]	Position [UTM N]	Equipment	Energy (J)	Frequency (sweep)	Sampling interval (ms) SC/MC*	Remarks			
TI 01		07/10/2012	11.40	12.50	490077,94	5683348,74	PES	N.A.	8 – 10 kHz	N.A.				
11_01	INE-SVV	07/10/2013	11:49	12:50	484596,78	5677732,75	Seistec boomer	300	N.A.	0,05/0,25	-			
					484888.98	5677987.55	PES	N.A.	6 kHz.	N.A.	Short termination of data			
TL_02	SW-NE	07/10/2013	13:10	14:25	490631,78	5683928,66	Centipede sparker	300	N.A	0,1/0,25	recording due to cooling problems			
TI 03	NF-SW	07/10/2013	14.50	16.28	491143,76	5684488,69	PES	N.A.	4 – 6 – 10 kHz	N.A.	_			
12_00		0771072013	14.50	10.50	484307,09	5677487,41	AA300 boomer	500	N.A.	0,1/0,25				
TI 04	SW-NF	07/10/2013	17.20	18.30	484284,96	5674358,95	PES	N.A.	5 kHz	N.A.	_			
	500 112	07/10/2013	17.20	10.50	490938,28	5684592,61	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,5 – 8,0 kHz	0,046				
					491108 64	568//16 13	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,5 – 4,5 kHz	0,046	Geonulse signal verv weak			
TL_05	NE-SW	08/10/2013	10:47	12:11	484049,91	484049,91	484049,91	484049,91	5677176.60	SIG Sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,25	$\rightarrow$ no recording
					,-		Geopulse	N.A.	3,5 kHz	N.A.	5			
TL 06	SW-NE	08/10/2013	12:23	14:38	484205,48 491359,02	5677308,45	Geopulse	N.A.	3,5 kHz	N.A.	Geopulse strongly focused			
						491359,02	5684671,71	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,5 – 7,2 kHz	0,046	on seafloor		
EF 01	NF-SW	08/10/2013	12:52	13:24	491165,63	5684075,62	Centipede sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	13:18 – 13:20 GPS			
		00, 10, 2010			489291,24	5682256,25	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,5 – 7,2 kHz	0,046	problems			
EF 02	SW-NF	08/10/2013	13:34	13:48	489640,66	5682021,10	Centipede sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	_			
	0	00, 10, 2010	20101	10110	491085,45	5683545,18	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,5 – 7,2 kHz	0,046				
					491449.18	5683364.73	Centipede sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	-			
EF_03	NE-SW	08/10/2013	13:56	14:30	489801,74	5681632,43	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,5 – 2,7 kHz	0,046	14:08 bad data due to high speeds			
FF 04	SW-NE 08/10/2013 14:42 14	14.51	489312,59	5682030,67	Centipede sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	14:51 – 14:52 GPS					
LI_04	500 NE	00/10/2013	14.45	14.31	490817,45	5683524,97	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,5 – 2,7 kHz	0,046	problems			
FE 05	NF-SW	08/10/2013	15.10	15.20	491207,45	5683382,16	Centipede sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	no multichannel recording			
LI_03	112-211	00/10/2013	13.10	13.33	489642,09	5681760,09	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,5 – 2,7 kHz	0,046	no manuel anne recording			
FF 06	SW-NF	08/10/2013	15.57	16.03	490045,81	5681589,47	Centipede sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	_			
LI_00	JVV-IVL	00/10/2013	10.07	10.03	491456,96	5683053,11	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,5 – 2,7 kHz	0,046	-			

EE 07		08/10/2012	16.10	16.24	491414,76	5682667,73	Centipede sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125		
EF_07	SE-INVV	08/10/2015	10.10	10.24	490120,30	5683400,93	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,5 – 2,7 kHz	0,046	-	
EE 09	NIM/ SE	08/10/2012	16.24	16.19	489485,55	5682989,39	Centipede sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125		
EF_08	INVV-3L	08/10/2013	10.54	10.40	490741,74	5682021,10	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,5 – 2,7 kHz	0,046	-	
EE 00		08/10/2012	16.59	17.00	490049,25	5681531,41	Centipede sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125		
EF_09	31-1000	08/10/2013	10.58	11.05	489139,86	5682422,49	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,5 – 2,7 kHz	0,046	-	
FE 10		08/10/2013	17.15	17.27	489773,22	5683209,11	Centipede sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	_	
	NWV JL	00/10/2013	17.15	17.27	491013,19	5682288,75	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,5 – 2,7 kHz	0,046		
CV 01	NF-SW/	09/10/2013	08.34	09.06	486689,85	5679493,34	Centipede sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	_	
		03/10/2013	00.54	05.00	488854,66	5681741,06	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,5 – 2,7 kHz	0,046		
CV 02	SW/-NF	09/10/2013	09.12	09.40	488998,04	5681419,79	Centipede sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	_	
	500 NE	03/10/2013	05.12	05.40	486394,90	5678608,22	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,5 – 7,2 kHz	0,046		
CV 03	NF-SW/	09/10/2013	09.47	10.24	486658,05	5678295,25	Centipede sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	_	
		03/10/2013	05.47	10.24	489230,14	5681022,83	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,7 – 12 kHz	0,046		
CV 04	SW-NF	09/10/2013	10.33	10.52	488860,05	5681399,63	Centipede sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	_	
	500 112	03/10/2013	10.55	10.52	486994,42	5679536,37	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,7 – 12 kHz	0,046		
CV 05	CV 05 NE-SW/ 09/10/2013 10:	10/2013 10.59 11	10:59 11	11.30	487024,55	5679007,71	Centipede sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	_	
		03/10/2013		11.50	489129,71	5681122,91	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,7 – 12 kHz	0,046		
CV 06	SW-NF	09/10/2013	11.37	11.58	489068,01	5680515,84	Centipede sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	_	
	500 112	03/10/2013	11.57	11.50	487285,90	5678664,09	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,7 – 12 kHz	0,046		
CV 07	SF-NW	09/10/2013	12.14	12.30	487945,63	5678832,76	SIG sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125		
	52 1000	03/10/2013	12.14	12.50	486430,70	5680164,72	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,7 – 12 kHz	0,046		
CV 08	NW-SF	09/10/2013	12.39	12.49	487202,67	5680204,44	SIG sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	_	
		03/10/2013	12.55	12.15	488834,39	5679611,99	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,7 – 12 kHz	0,046		
CV 09	SF-NW	09/10/2013	12.57	13.13	488833,00	5679613,10	SIG sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	_	
	52 111	03/10/2013	12.57	15.15	487377,33	5680908,63	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,7 – 12 kHz	0,046		
CV 10	NW-SF	09/10/2013	13:23	13:41	487783,13	5681351,26	SIG sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	-	
		00, 10, 2010	10.10	101	489414,08	5679885,33	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,7 – 12 kHz	0,046		
CV 11	NF-SW	09/10/2013	13:49	12:43	489266,38	5680444,36	SIG sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	-	
		00, 10, 2010	10110		485755,99	5676745,42	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,7 – 12 kHz	0,046		
WF 01	NE-SW	09/10/2013	14:47	14:59	485529,03	5676689,67	SIG sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	-	
		20, 10, 2013	05/10/2015	17.7/	14.35	484448,81	5677501,43	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,7 – 12 kHz	0,046	
WF 02	SE-NW	E-NW 09/10/2013 15:01 1	15.31	484293,72	5677383,36	SIG sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	-		
WF_UZ SE-NW 09	09/10/2013		10/2013 15:01	15:01 15:31	482302,63	5675397,64	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,7 – 12 kHz	0,046		

WE 03	NF-SW/	09/10/2013	15.3/	15./11	482427,06	5675113,29	SIG sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	_
WI_05	NE SW	05/10/2015	13.54	19.41	483299,87	5674416,21	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,7 – 12 kHz	0,046	-
		00/10/2012	15.46	16.0E	483629,34	5674433,72	SIG sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	
VVF_04	INVV-SE	09/10/2013	15.40	10.05	485649,24	5676690,55	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,7 – 12 kHz	0,046	-
	CW/ NE	00/10/2012	16:0E	16.27	485659,90	5676709,32	SIG sparker	300	N.A.	0,1/0,125	Shipwreck
WF_US	SVV-INE	09/10/2013	10:05	10:37	487207,75	5678495,47	X-Star chirp	N.A.	0,7 – 12 kHz	0,046	encountered

Table 6 Representation of the characteristics of the performed seismic lines during the survey.

\*Sampling rate for the X-Star chirp is unrelated to the streamers because source and receiver are located in the device itself.

# Appendix

Survey photos



Figure 3 - AA380 boomer from Deltares.



Figure 4 – Purpose designed mounting pole to accommodate the Geopulse and Parametric echosounder.



*Figure 5 – Geopulse attached to the mounting pole on the side of the ship.* 



Figure 6 – Parametric echosounder attached on the same mounting pole.



Figure 7 – Seistec boomer from RCMG.



Figure 8 – X-star chirp from Deltares



*Figure 9 – Deployment of the X-star chirp with the deck crane.* 



Figure 10 – Left: Centipede sparker (RCMG). Right: SIG sparker (RCMG).



Figure 11 – Deploying the multichannel streamer from Deltares



Figure 12 – Data acquisition using two different streamers (SC = Single channel;MC = Multichannel) and a sparker source towed behind the ship.



*Figure 13 – Installation of the different acquisition and navigation recording units in the lab.*